

HomeWork 7/8 :

Face Recognition

Deep Learning for Advance Robotics Perception

Author: Aayush Shah Sanjuksha Nirgude Dharini Dutia Harsh Pathak Namrita Madhusoodanan Kevin Ducharme *Supervisor:* Dr. Carlos Morato

November 6, 2017

Description of files in the submission:

- 1. Vid2Img.m- Matlab script to extract images from videos.
- 2. create_dataset.py- Python script for creating the test and validation folders. The image files are shuffled in a random order and placed into these folders according to the specified train-validation split ratio.
- 3. CNN1.py- Python code(Keras+Tensorflow) defines the model of the best configuration, along with the code to train and test the model.
- 4. visualizing.py- Python code to visualize the filters of the developed model, given an input test image.
- 5. model_predict_face.ipynb- Code to view prediction labels for fresh test images.

Introduction

In this homework, we are using videos taken by six members of our group with 5 different backgrounds, and creating an image dataset out of that. Having parsed the imaged from a video we got a dataset with high correlation. Our challenge is to apply various techniques learned in class to make our dataset more efficient for a better learning with low bias and low variance. Moreover, having taken all the videos from cameras with different specifications. We, had to make our pre-processing unit more robust to remove extra images and use images with different resolutions.

In this assignment, for image classification we are using Convolutional Neural Networks. Since we have a small dataset with has high correlation, hence we incorporated some techniques to reduce overfitting. We are using a very small convnet with few layers and few filters per layer, alongside data augmentation and dropout.

Preprocessing and Data Handling:

- First, we collect videos of all our group members in 5 different backgrounds, and extract approximately 1800 images per video. This is done using the matlab script Vid2Img.m. This results in a dataset consisting of around 9000 images per person. The images are resized to 108*192 for easier transfer of data.
- 2. Next, a train and validation folder is created from the dataset. For this purpose, a python script "create_dataset.py", is run. This does the job of splitting the dataset into train and validation folders in a random fashion, which is essential for classification. The script is included in the submission.

Methodology :

We built a small Convolutional Neural Network with few filters per layer. Since, our dataset is small and highly correlated we used Data Augmentation in the data-preprocessing module of the assignment to reduce overfitting. We use "ImageDataGernerator", which is an in-built function in keras. This function is used to preprocess the images, convert them to tensors and augment the data. Further, our training dataset consists of approximately 5000-6000 images of each person in the group. The validation dataset consists of 3000 images of each person. In data augmentation the image is rotated, shifted, rescaled, sheared, zoomed and flipped to create a varied dataset.

Layer Topology:

1. The first trial consisted of a model with the following summary:

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #	
conv2d_1 (Conv2D)	(None, 148, 14		
activation_1 (Activatio	n) (None, 148, 148	3, 32) 0	
max_pooling2d_1 (Ma	axPooling2 (None, 74	4, 74, 32) 0	
conv2d_2 (Conv2D)	(None, 72, 72,	32) 9248	
activation_2 (Activatio	n) (None, 72, 72, 3	32) 0	
max_pooling2d_2 (Ma	axPooling2 (None, 36	6, 36, 32) 0	
conv2d_3 (Conv2D)	(None, 34, 34,	64) 18496	
activation_3 (Activatio	n) (None, 34, 34, 6	64) 0	
max_pooling2d_3 (Ma	axPooling2 (None, 17	7, 17, 64) 0	
flatten_1 (Flatten)	(None, 18496)	0	
dense_1 (Dense)	(None, 64)	1183808	

activation_4 (Activation)	(None, 64)	0		
dropout_1 (Dropout)	(None, 64)	0		
dense_2 (Dense)	(None, 6)	390		
activation_5 (Activation)	(None, 6)	0		
The results obtained were: Epoch 1/50 125/125 [====================================		====] - 264s - I	oss: 0.8883 - acc: 0.693	0 - val_loss:
Epoch 2/50 125/125 [====================================		====] - 124s - I	oss: 0.4191 - acc: 0.881	5 - val_loss:
Epoch 3/50 125/125 [====================================		====] - 127s - I	oss: 0.2949 - acc: 0.918	5 - val_loss:
Epoch 4/50 125/125 [====================================	=================	====] - 124s - I	oss: 0.2154 - acc: 0.943	5 - val_loss:
Epoch 5/50 125/125 [====================================	000	====] - 121s - I	oss: 0.1726 - acc: 0.952	:0 - val_loss:
Since a validation accurac necessary.	y of 1 was achie	ved, it can be co	ncluded that only 6 epoc	chs are
This model used the optim	izer 'adam'.			
2. The second trial used th results obtained:	e same model a	rchitecture with	the optimizer 'rmsprop'.	These were the
125/125 [====================================		====] - 85s - lo	ss: 1.0477 - acc: 0.6255	- val_loss:
Lpoch 2/6 125/125 [====================================		====] - 80s - lo	ss: 0.4893 - acc: 0.8530	- val_loss:

125/125 [==========] - 80s - loss: 0.2792 - acc: 0.9150 - val_loss: 0.0309 - val_acc: 0.9838 Epoch 4/6 125/125 [===========] - 78s - loss: 0.2049 - acc: 0.9405 - val_loss: 0.0106 - val_acc: 0.9988 Epoch 5/6 125/125 [===========] - 76s - loss: 0.1367 - acc: 0.9510 - val_loss: 0.0061 - val_acc: 0.9988 Epoch 6/6 125/125 [===========] - 78s - loss: 0.1120 - acc: 0.9630 - val_loss: 0.0093 - val_acc: 0.9962

This model trains faster, has less loss and more accuracy during training, and seems to do a better job of countering overfitting.

3. For the model above, inputs were given to visualize the filters. The results were-

Input Image:



Visualization for conv2d_1



Visualization for conv2d_2



Visualization for conv2d_3



4. The model was also tested using completely new images in the validation folder, which were extracted from new videos. These new images are never seen by the model during training. The results were-Epoch 6/6 125/125 [============] - 57s - loss: 0.1202 - acc: 0.9655 - val_loss: 0.0014 - val_acc: 0.9988

Results for Fresh Images which were not the part of data set :

Our Model was able to correctly classify $12/17 \sim$ fresh images that were completely different from training data.

1/1 [======] - 0s True Label : hpathak



1/1 [======] - 0s True Label : ashah



1/1 [======] - 0s True Label : hpathak



1/1 [======] - 0s True Label : kducharme



True Label : ddutia



1/1 [======] - 0s True Label : snirgude



True Label : snirgude



1/1 [======] - 0s

True label : namrita



True Label : snirgude



1/1 [======] - 0s True Label : snirgude



1/1 [======] - 0s True Label : snirgude



1/1 [======] - 0s True Label : snirgude



True Label : snirgude



1/1 [======] - 0s True Label : hpathak



True label : ddutia



1/1 [=====] - 0s





True Label : namrita



Conclusions:

The model developed gives a validation accuracy of about 99.8%. This high value is achieved because the data used for training and validation are obtained from videos, resulting in highly correlated data.

References :

•

1. <u>https://blog.keras.io/building-powerful-image-classification-models-using-very-little-data.h</u> <u>tml</u>